

REMARKS

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication to Pote (US 2003/0097909). Pote discloses the claimed points read on the structures separating the 24 driver recesses in Figs. 5-8.

Moreover, Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Pote. The examiner points out that Pote may not each thirty six points as claimed, however, Pote teaches the possibility of more than the twenty four shown and indicates that the number should be a multiple of six. Accordingly it would have been obvious to provide the device of Pote with thirty six drive recesses and a corresponding number of points in order to make it more effective in a given application.

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 1-3 are allowed. Responsive to this, claims 4 and 5 are deleted and claims 1-3 are maintained to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


CHIH-CHING/HSIEN